

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/524,113	03/13/2000	Jitendra P Patel	6475.US.O2	6413
23492	7590 06/03/200			
STEVEN F. WEINSTOCK ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A			EXAMINER	
			BAHAR, MOJDEH	
	RK, IL 60064-6008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1617	0.6
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	295

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
OSC - A 4' - O	09/524,113	PATEL ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mojdeh Bahar	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 l	March 2003				
	is action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-12 and 19</u> is/are pending in the	application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-12 &19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception	,				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,	•			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/524,113

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response to the office action of November 20, 2002 and the amendment adding claim 19, submitted March 19, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/524,113

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1, 3 and 7-12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacy et al. (USPN 5,645,856).

Lacy et al. (USPN 5,645,856) teaches a carrier system for a hydrophobic drug (including finofibrate) composition comprising (a) a digestible oil (including soybean oil, coconut oil, corn oil, palm oil, cottonseed oil, olive oil, safflower seed oil); (b) a pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant comprising a hydrophilic (including phospholipids, polyethylene sorbitan fatty acid derivatives, castor oil or hydrogenated ester caster oil ethoxylates, fatty acid ethoxylates, alcohol ethoxylates, polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropykene co-polymers and block co-polymers) and a lipophilic surfactant (including propylene glycol), see in particular col. 3, lines 38-67, cols. 5-9 and col. 11, lines 22-23, see col. 21 example 6, lines 21-31.

Lacy et al. (USPN 5,645,856) doe not particularly teach an emulsion composition consisting essentially of fenofibrate with the claimed oil and emulsifiers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to employ fenofibrate in a emulsion composition consisting essentially of the recited oils and emulsifier.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate any of the named hydrophobic drugs in Lacy et al. (USPN 5,645,856) in Lacy's pharmaceutical carrier because Lacy teaches a pharmaceutical combination composition that includes a hydrophobic drug, oil and surfactants (both lipophilic and hydrophilic).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the surfactants taught in Lacy possess particular qualities, e.g.,

Application/Control Number: 09/524,113

Art Unit: 1617

the hydrophilic surfactant component is such that it does not inhibit the lipolysis of oil not required/claimed herein. Note that Lacy enumerates certain hydrophilic surfactants that are particularly claimed herein, e.g., phospholipids, polyethylene sorbitan fatty acid derivatives, castor oil or hydrogenated ester caster oil ethoxylates, fatty acid ethoxylates, alcohol ethoxylates, polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropykene co-polymers and block co-polymers (see instant claims 19, 1, 7). Note also that the lipophilic surfactant, e.g. propylene glycol, taught by Lacy is also claimed herein (see the instant claim 12). Therefore both the hydrophilic and the lipophilic surfactants of Lacy read on the instant claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mojdeh Bahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-1007. The

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/524,113

Art Unit: 1617

examiner can normally be reached on (703) 305-1007 on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Mojdeh Bahar Patent Examiner May 22, 2003

> SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/1/03